



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FÍLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9683	
10/064,477	07/18/2002	Andrew E. Fano	3383600003		
30498	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
ACCENTU	_	NGUYEN, CINDY			
	R PRICE KAUFMAN (LASALLE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO.		2161			

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7				
1	Appli	cation No.		Applicant(s)
		64,477		FANO, ANDREW E.
Office Action Summa	ry Exam	iner		Art Unit
		Nguyen		2171
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears o	n the cove	sheet with the co	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi If the period for reply specified above is less than a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxi Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within th mum statutory period will apply a for reply will, by statute, cause the nonths after the mailing date of the statute.	no event, howe e statutory min and will expire e application t	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1) Responsive to communication((s) filed on 06/02/05.			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-fin	al.	
3) Since this application is in cond	•			secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the	practice under <i>Ex parte</i>	e Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-25 and 27-29</u> i	s/are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	, ,	•	ation.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-25 and 27-29</u> i	s/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	restriction and/or electi	on require	ment.	
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July</u>	<u>2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b	objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any	y objection to the drawing	(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	luding the correction is re	quired if th	e drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objec	ted to by the Examiner	r. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a c a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None		under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the pr		been rece	ived.	
2. Certified copies of the pr	•			on No.
3.☐ Copies of the certified co	=		- • •	
application from the Inter	•			
* See the attached detailed Office	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			d.
ttachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	day (DTO 040)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		t of Paper No./Mail Date 20040714

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to amendment filed 06/02/05.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-8, 10- 20, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cobbley et al. (US 5614940) (Cobbley).

Regarding claim 1, Cobbley discloses: A method for media indexing comprising: capturing a subject in a media file with a media capture device (112, fig. 1 and corresponding text, Cobbley); automatically receiving index information from an external source related to the subject (col. 3, lines 5-59 and col. 4, lines 20-37, Cobbley); and associating the index information with the media file (col. 3, lines 60 to col. 4, lines 7, Cobbley).

Regarding claim 2, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. However, Cobbley discloses: wherein the step of associating the index information with the media file further comprises: encoding the index information into the media file (col. 6, lines 28-67, Cobbley).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claim 4, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 3. In addition, Cobbley discloses: wherein the media file storage device stores the media file and index information(col. 7, lines 34-47, Cobbley), the method further comprising at least one of the following: searching the plurality of stored media files using the index information and enabling a commercial system with the plurality of stored media files using the index information (col. 10, lines 21-38, Cobbley).

Regarding claim 5, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Cobbley discloses: wherein the index information, prior to being associated with the media file, is transmitted from a media indexing beacon (col. 3, lines 25-40, Cobbley).

Regarding claim 6, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 5. In addition, Cobbley discloses: wherein the step of receiving the index information is in response to an index information request (col. 10, lines 21-59, Cobbley).

Regarding claims 8 and 20, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Cobbley discloses: transmitting the index information relating to the subject to a media capture device (col. 6, lines 7-26, Cobbley).

Regarding claim 10, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 8. In addition, Cobbley discloses: wherein the media capture

Art Unit: 2161

device receives the index information and associates the index information with a media file (col. 11, lines 10-40, Cobbley).

Regarding claim 11, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 8. In addition, Cobbley discloses: wherein the index information is wirelessly transmitted to the media capture device (col. 3, lines 50-59, Cobbley).

As per claims 13 and 19, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

Regarding claim 14, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 13. In addition, Cobbley discloses: prior to providing index information from the media indexing beacon, further comprising detecting a user input to capture the media file (page 5, 0050, Cobbley); and providing an index information request to the media indexing beacon (col. 7, lines 1-18, Cobbley).

Regarding claims 3 and 15, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 13. In addition, Cobbley discloses: further comprising: providing the media file and the index information to a media file storage device (database) which comprises a plurality of stored media files (media objects) having index information associated therewith (col. 7, lines 34-47, Cobbley); and storing the media file along with the plurality of stored media files (col. 7, lines 34-47, Cobbley).

As per claim 16, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 3, 4 and 15. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claims 7, 12 and 17, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 8 and 13 above, respectively. In addition, Cobbley discloses: wherein the index information comprises at least one of the following: a time indicator, a landmark indicator, an event indicator, a global positioning system indicator, commercial information, a universal resource locator, and a proximity indicator (col. 7, lines 48-65, Cobbley).

Regarding claim 18, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 17. In addition, Cobbley discloses: wherein the index information enables a media file to be utilized by at least one commercial system, wherein the at least one commercial system comprises at least one of the following: a workflow system, a procurement system, a retail sales system, and a safety inspection/auditing system (col. 5, lines 34-43, Cobbley).

As per claim 25, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 2. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

As per claim 27, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-24, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobbley et al. (US 20030009469) (Cobbley) in view of Katseff et al. (US 5822537) (Katseff).

Regarding claim 21, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 11 and 19. However, Cobbley didn't disclose: wherein the media indexing beacon further comprises: at least one index buffer comprising the index information; and a transmitter operably coupled to the at least one index buffer, wherein the transmitter provides the index information to the media capture device. On the other hand, Katseff discloses: wherein the media indexing beacon further comprises: at least one index buffer comprising the index information (col. 15, lines 16-37, Katseff); and a transmitter operably coupled to the at least one index buffer, wherein the transmitter provides the index information to the media capture device (310, fig. 3 and corresponding text, Katseff). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include wherein the media indexing beacon further comprises: at least one index buffer comprising the index information; and a transmitter operably coupled to the at least one index buffer, wherein the transmitter provides the index information to the media capture device in the system of Cobbley as taught by Katseff. The motivation being to enable the system provide a data buffer monitoring subroutine to maintain a pre-defined amount of audio and video data in the audio and video buffers.

Regarding claim 22, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 21. In addition, Cobbley/Katseff discloses: wherein the media indexing beacon further comprises a receiver that receives an index information request from the media capture device, wherein the transmitter transmits the index information in response to the index information request (370, 340, 31, fig. 3 and corresponding text, Katseff).

As per claims 23 and 24, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 21 and 22. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

As per claim 28, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 21 and 27. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

As per claim 29, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 27. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,477

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cindy Nguyen July 30, 2005

FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8